# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Zofia Olejnik,<sup>a</sup>\* Tadeusz Lis,<sup>a</sup> Iveta Ondrejkovičová,<sup>b</sup> Jozef Malo<sup>b</sup> and Sylvia Galková<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Faculty of Chemistry, University of Wrocław, 14 Joliot-Curie St, 50-383 Wrocław, Poland, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Slovak Technical University, Radlinského 9, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovakia

Correspondence e-mail: olej@wchuwr.chem.uni.wroc.pl

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.047 wR factor = 0.114 Data-to-parameter ratio = 24.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The title compound,  $[Fe(NCS)_3(C_{14}H_{15}OP)_3]$ , adopts a meridional coordination of the three NCS<sup>-</sup> ligands and three OPEtPh<sub>2</sub> ligands. The Fe-N and Fe-O bond lengths fall in the range 1.982 (2)–2.045 (2) Å, and the bond angles around the Fe<sup>3+</sup> centre deviate only slightly from an ideal octahedral geometry. The three OPEtPh<sub>2</sub> ligands have similar geometries to each other and adopt the same general conformation with differences in the relative orientations of the phenyl groups. The NCS<sup>-</sup> ligands are almost linear and the Fe-N-C angles range from 170.78 (17) to 177.56 (17)°. In contrast, the Fe-O-P angles show a great variation with two angles close to  $180^{\circ}$  [166.29 (10) and 171.21 (10)<sup> $\circ$ </sup>] and the angles corresponding to a disordered OPEtPh<sub>2</sub> ligand far from 180°  $[137.0 (10) \text{ and } 137.9 (5)^{\circ}]$ . Both the disorder and the variations in the angles around the N and O atoms seem to result from weak intermolecular  $C-H \cdots S$  interactions.

thiocyanato)iron(III)

mer-Tris(ethyldiphenylphosphine oxide)tris(iso-

### Comment

The structure of the title compound, (I), is shown below. Dimensions are listed in Table 1.



Unlike fac-tris(isothiocyanato)tris(triphenylphosphine oxide)iron(III) (Olejnik et al., 1995), the title compound, [Fe(NCS)<sub>3</sub>(C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>OP)<sub>3</sub>], adopts a meridional coordination of the three NCS<sup>-</sup> ligands and three OPEtPh<sub>2</sub> ligands. The Fe-N and Fe-O bond lengths fall in the range 1.982(2)-2.045 (2) Å, and the bond angles around the  $Fe^{3+}$  centre deviate only slightly from an ideal octahedral geometry. The three OPEtPh<sub>2</sub> ligands have similar geometries to each other and adopt the same general conformation with differences in the relative orientations of the phenyl groups. The NCS<sup>-</sup> ligands are almost linear and the Fe-N-C angles range from 170.78 (17) to 177.56 (17)°. In contrast, the Fe-O-P angles show a great variation with two angles close to 180°  $[166.29 (10) \text{ and } 171.21 (10)^{\circ}]$  and the angles corresponding to a disordered OPEtPh<sub>2</sub> ligand far from 180° [137.0 (10) and 137.9  $(5)^{\circ}$ ]. Both the disorder and the variations in the angles around the N and O atoms seem to result from weak intermolecular  $C-H \cdot \cdot \cdot S$  interactions (Table 2).

Received 5 September 2005 Accepted 10 October 2005 Online 19 October 2005

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

# Experimental

The title compound was obtained in two steps. First, an acetonitrile solution of  $Fe(SCN)_3$  was prepared by mixing acetonitrile solutions of  $FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  and KSCN in stoichiometric amounts; the insoluble KCl formed during the reaction was filtered off. An acetonitrile solution (10 ml) of ethyldiphenylphosphine oxide (0.690 g, 3.00 mmol) was then added to the acetonitrile solution (30 ml) of  $Fe(SCN)_3$  (1.00 mmol) and the mixture was refluxed. After cooling, dark-red crystals of the title compound suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation.

# Crystal data

$[Fe(NCS)_3(C_{14}H_{15}OP)_3]$	
$M_r = 920.78$	
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	
a = 14.565 (3) Å	
b = 16.839 (3) Å	
c = 20.092 (4) Å	
$\beta = 110.47 \ (3)^{\circ}$	
$V = 4616.6 (18) \text{ Å}^3$	
Z = 4	

#### Data collection

Kuma KM-4 CCD  $\kappa$ -geometry diffractometer  $\omega$  scans

Absorption correction: analytical [CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction, 2003), analytical numeric absorption using a multifaceted crystal model based on expressions derived by Clark & Reid (1995)]

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$   $wR(F^2) = 0.114$  S = 1.0113417 reflections 555 parameters

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Fe-O2	1.982 (2)	O2-P2	1.503 (2)
Fe-O3	1.990 (2)	O3-P3	1.503 (2)
Fe-N1	2.024 (2)	N1-C1	1.165 (3)
Fe-O1	2.036 (2)	C1-S1	1.616 (2)
Fe-N2	2.039 (2)	N2-C2	1.166 (3)
Fe-N3	2.045 (2)	C2-S2	1.628 (2)
O1-P1	1.509 (12)	N3-C3	1.170 (3)
O1-P11	1.53 (2)	C3-S3	1.624 (2)
$\Omega^2 - \text{Fe} = \Omega^3$	90.27 (6)	N1-Fe-N3	179.00 (7)
O2-Fe-N1	90.09 (7)	O1 - Fe - N3	90.96 (7)
O3-Fe-N1	88.96 (7)	N2-Fe-N3	89.73 (7)
O2-Fe-O1	86.45 (6)	P1-O1-Fe	137.9 (5)
O3-Fe-O1	176.55 (6)	P11-O1-Fe	137.0 (10)
N1-Fe-O1	89.97 (7)	P2-O2-Fe	166.29 (10)
O2-Fe-N2	177.10 (7)	P3-O3-Fe	171.21 (10)
O3-Fe-N2	92.63 (7)	N1-C1-S1	179.2 (2)
N1-Fe-N2	89.90 (7)	C2-N2-Fe	170.78 (17)
O1-Fe-N2	90.65 (7)	N2-C2-S2	179.03 (19)
O2-Fe-N3	90.33 (7)	C3-N3-Fe	177.56 (17)
O3-Fe-N3	90.13 (7)	N3-C3-S3	179.1 (2)
01 - P1 - C13 - C23	-664(13)	$\Omega_{2}^{2} = P_{2}^{2} = C_{16}^{16} = C_{26}^{26}$	-631(2)
O1-P11-C131-C231	-63 (3)	O3-P3-C19-C29	-61.22 (17)



$T_{\min} = 0.852, T_{\max} = 0.946$
57584 measured reflections
13417 independent reflections
8964 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.042$
$\theta_{\rm max} = 30.0^{\circ}$
$h = -20 \rightarrow 20$
$k = -23 \rightarrow 23$
$l = -25 \rightarrow 28$

H atom parameters constraine	А
n-atom parameters constraine	;u
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0552P)^2]$	
where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$	
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.90 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.35 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	



## Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The H atoms and the minor disorder component have been omitted for clarity.

# Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C131 - H134 \cdot \cdot \cdot S2^{i}$	0.99	2.77	3.64 (3)	146
$C321 - H321 \cdot \cdot \cdot S3^{i}$	0.95	2.73	3.632 (12)	158
C19−H192···S3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.99	2.86	3.848 (2)	177
C47−H47···S2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.95	2.83	3.707 (2)	154
$C16-H162\cdots S2^{iv}$	0.99	2.80	3.754 (2)	163

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iii)  $-x + 2, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (iv)  $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ .

The C atoms of one phenyl group attached to atom P1 of the ethyldiphenylphosphine oxide ligand exhibited extremely prolate displacement ellipsoids, and the displacement parameters of atom P1 and the C atoms of the ethyl substituent were larger than those of the two other ligands. This apparent disorder was modelled by splitting the phenyl (C12-C62) and ethyl (C13, C23) groups and atom P1 over two sets of positions, giving refined occupancies of 0.653 (12) for one component and 0.337 (12) for the other component (atoms C121-C621, C131, C231 and P11). The disordered groups were refined with geometrical similarity restraints (Sheldrick, 1997). The anisotropic displacement parameters of the atom pairs from the two components were constrained to be identical. Finally, U<sup>ij</sup> parameters for C12 and C121 were restrained to approximate an isotropic behaviour. H atoms were positioned geometrically and treated as riding on their carrier atom, with C-H distances constrained to 0.95 (aromatic CH), 0.99 (methylene CH<sub>2</sub>) or 0.98 Å (methyl CH<sub>3</sub>), and with  $U_{iso}(H)$ values equal to  $1.5U_{eq}(carrier C)$  for methyl groups and  $1.2U_{eq}$ (carrier C) for the remaining H atoms.

Data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2003); cell refinement: *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2003); data reduction: *CrysAlis RED*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *XP* (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

### References

Bruker (1998). XP. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Clark, R. C. & Reid, J. S. (1995). Acta Cryst. A**51**, 887–897.

Olejnik, Z., Lis, T. & Ondrejkovičová, I. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 2246-2249.

- Oxford Diffraction (2003). KM-4 CCD Software, including CrysAlis CCD and CrysAlis RED. Versions 1.171. Oxford Diffraction Poland, Wrocław, Poland.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.